Optimal Binary $(5, 3)$ Projective Space Codes from Maximal Partial Spreads

Anirban Ghatak
Department of Electrical Communication Engineering
Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore, Karnataka, India 560012
Email: aghatak@ece.iisc.ernet.in

arXiv:1701.07245v1 [cs.IT] 25 Jan 2017

Abstract*—Recently a construction of optimal non-constant dimension subspace codes, also termed projective space codes, has been reported in a paper of Honold-Kiermaier-Kurz. Restricted to binary codes in a 5-dimensional ambient space with minimum subspace distance 3, these optimal codes may be interpreted in terms of maximal partial spreads of 2-dimensional subspaces. In a parallel development, an optimal binary $(5, 3)$ code was obtained by a minimal change strategy on a nearly optimal example of Etzion and Vardy. In this paper, we report several examples of optimal binary $(5, 3)$ codes obtained by the application of this strategy combined with changes to the spread structure of existing codes. We also establish that all our examples lie outside the framework of the construction of Honold *et al.

I. Introduction

The notion of subspace coding for errors and erasures in random networks was introduced in the papers of Kötter *et al.* ([1], [2]). There exists a rich body of literature dealing with the encoding and decoding of constant dimension codes, (for instance, references [3] - [11]) where all the codeword subspaces have the same dimension. An extensive list of open problems in this field and relevant references are to be found in Etzion's survey [12]. In comparison, the more general problem of the construction of non-constant dimension subspace codes, termed projective space codes, still has a lot of scope.

Etzion and Vardy [13] proved a Gilbert-Varshamov-type lower bound and a linear programming upper bound - assuming a given *dimension distribution* - on the size of projective space codes. In addition, they gave an example of a nearly optimal binary code of minimum *subspace distance* 3 in a 5-dimensional ambient space, where the subspace distance between two subspaces U, V of an ambient projective space is defined in [1] as:

$\mathrm{d}_s(U, V) := \dim U + \dim V - 2\dim(U \cap V)$

In [14] and [15], the construction of constant dimension Ferrers-diagram rank-metric codes in [4] has been adapted for constructing projective space codes. Another approach (for instance, in [4], [7], [16]) involves *puncturing* known constant dimension codes and adding suitable subspaces to increase the overall code-size.

Honold, Kiermaier and Kurz [16] have described a method for generating optimal $(5, 3)$ codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$, termed the *point-hyperplane shortening* of a constant dimension lifted Gabidulin code [17], augmented by additional subspaces maintaining the minimum subspace distance. Over $\mathbb{F}_2$, their construction, henceforth referred to as the HKK Construction, results in optimal binary codes of size 18. The authors have shown that the basic building blocks of these codes are *maximal partial spreads* of 2-dimensional subspaces in a 5-dimensional ambient space.

An optimal $(5, 3)_2$ projective space code was reported in [18], which was obtained by a strategy of minimal changes to a nearly optimal code given by Etzion-Vardy in [13]. Both the Etzion-Vardy (EV) code and the optimal variant can be described in terms of maximal partial spreads of 2-dimensional subspaces. As a natural extension, we have searched for optimal and nearly optimal codes composed of partial spreads which are derived from those used in these two codes. In doing so, we have used results from the classification of such maximal partial spreads as presented in [19] (cf. [8], [16]).

The main contribution of this paper consists of two pairs of optimal binary $(5, 3)$ codes obtained by applying the minimal change strategy on some nearly optimal codes. These nearly optimal codes were, in turn, constructed from the EV code and its variant by replacing the constituent maximal partial spreads with their *opposite* spreads. In addition, we report a third pair of optimal codes obtained by a combination of some of the codes mentioned above. Finally we establish that all the codes reported in this paper fall outside the framework of the HKK construction.

The organization of this paper is as follows. The next section provides some relevant results regarding optimal binary $(5, 3)$ projective codes and, in that context, maximal partial spreads of 2-dimensional subspaces in an ambient 5-dimensional space. The third section first reviews the minimal change strategy which produces the optimal variant from the EV code and then proceeds to describe the two pairs of opposite regulus optimal codes. In the fourth section we examine the HKK construction and provide a comparison with our codes. We conclude with a discussion on several unanswered questions related to the results presented.

Notation: We use $\mathbb{F}_q$ to denote the finite field of q elements and $PG(n-1, q)$ to denote the $(n-1)$-dimensional projective geometry over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The latter is equivalent to the set of all subspaces of the canonical n-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ ordered by the incidence relation $\subseteq$ ([16]). Hence we interchangeably refer to the 2-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_2^5$ as *lines* of $PG(4, 2)$, 3-subspaces as *planes*. A hyperplane of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is

identified with $PG(n-2,q)$.
As used in [19], we adopt the following compact representation for vectors in $\mathbb{F}_2^5$. Identify the canonical basis vectors e_i with the indices $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 5$. An arbitrary vector is denoted by the tuple of the constituent basis vectors; for instance, the vector 10101 is denoted by the tuple 135. The vector 11111 is denoted u - the tuple $4u$ thus stands for the vector 11101.

II. Optimal $(5,3)$ Codes and Maximal Partial Spreads

In this section we discuss the properties of optimal $(5,3)$ codes and examine how they can be realized using maximal partial spreads of 2-dimensional subspaces in a 5-dimensional vector space. In [19], a complete classification of such maximal partial spreads, i.e. maximal partial line spreads in $PG(4,2)$, is presented. We briefly review the relevant results and concepts which will be used in subsequent sections.

A. Optimal $(5,3)$ Codes and the HKK Construction

A $(5,3)_q$ subspace code is a subset of $PG(4,q)$ which can include subspaces of all possible dimensions with pairwise minimum subspace distance 3. In [16], all possible dimension distributions as well as the achievable size of optimal $(5,3)_q$ subspace codes are specified. The size of such a code is $2q^3+2$ and a possible realization is the union of q^3+1 lines (2-subspaces) and an equal number of planes (3-subspaces). Other realizations involve replacing one line by a 1-dimensional point and/or replacing one plane by a hyperplane (4-subspace) in the above. If we set $q=2$ in the first case, we have 9 lines and an equal number of planes.
HKK Construction: The $(6,3,2)$ lifted Gabidulin code $\mathcal{G}$ is a set of 3-subspaces of a 6-dimensional vector space ($PG(5,q)$), obtained by the Kötter-Kschischang lifting [1] of a Gabidulin code of 3×3 matrices with rank distance $\delta_r = 2$. The minimum subspace distance of $\mathcal{G}$ is $\mathrm{d}_s = 2\delta_r = 4$. The *point-hyperplane shortening* is performed on the set of subspaces of $\mathcal{G}$, plus two additional 3-subspaces (planes of $PG(5,q)$) at subspace distance 4. The shortening point is outside the special plane $\mathcal{S}$ of $PG(5,q)$ disjoint from all codewords of $\mathcal{G}$ (cf. Section 2.4, [16]) and the shortening hyperplane contains neither the special plane nor the point. The simultaneous shortening of $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to such a point P and a hyperplane $H = PG(4,q)$ is described as:

$$\mathcal{G}|_H^P = \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid X \subset H\} \cup \{Y \cap H, Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid P \in Y\}$$

The lifted code provides sets of 2- and 3-dimensional subspaces, each of size q^3, via shortening; one of the additional pair of 3-subspaces furnishes a 2-subspace via shortening, the other is included as a codeword. Thus we have a $(5,3)_q$ code of size $2q^3+2$ with dimension distribution $(2,3)$.

B. Maximal Line Spreads in $PG(4,2)$

A $spread$ in a vector space is a collection of non-intersecting subspaces which completely partitions the ambient space; a spread is $partial$ when it does not cover the entire ambient space. In [19] a complete classification of maximal partial spreads in $PG(4,2)$ is given in terms of the *regulus patterns* of the spreads. Following [8], we have the definition:

Definition 2.1: A *regulus* in $PG(4,2)$ is a set of three pairwise skew lines spanning a solid (hyperplane).
The number of reguli contained in maximal partial spreads S_r of size r is given in Theorem 2.4 of [19] as follows.

Theorem 2.1: If S_r is a maximal partial spread of r lines in $PG(4,2)$ then one of the following holds:
(i) $r=5$, $N_5=10$; (ii) $r=7$, $N_7=4$; (iii) $r=9$, $N_9=4$.
Moreover each of the above possibilities is realized. ■
It follows that in $PG(4,2)$, maximal partial line spreads can have sizes $5, 7, 9$ - hence we term a partial spread of size 9 as a *maximum* partial spread (Mps) (cf. [20]). An Mps of lines in $PG(4,2)$ has 4 reguli, i.e. there are 4 sets of three lines $\{l_1, l_2, l_3\}$, each set spanning a hyperplane. The intersections among these 4 sets determine the *regulus pattern* of the Mps. Any Mps of lines in $PG(4,2)$ exhibits one of the following three types of regulus patterns.

1) Type X : All 4 reguli share a common line.
2) Type IΔ : Three of the reguli form a "triangle", i.e. share two distinct lines with two other reguli; one regulus has no intersection with the other three.
3) Type E : Three reguli share a distinct line each with the fourth regulus.

New Spreads from Opposite Reguli:
A regulus in $PG(4,2)$ can equivalently be thought of as a set of 3 lines covered pointwise by a second set of 3 mutually skew lines ([21]). The second set is called the *opposite regulus*, and new spreads can be obtained from existing ones by replacing a particular regulus with its opposite regulus [19].
• *Between IΔ Spreads:* An S_9 of type IΔ is constructed from a size-6 spread of type Δ, say $S_6(\Delta)$, as follows. As indicated, $S_6(\Delta)$ already has three reguli forming the Δ. The complementary set of such an S_6 in $PG(4,2)$ can be expressed as the union of a hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{H}$ and a 4-set. The 4th regulus of this S_9 can be chosen from the two opposite reguli which cover the 9 points of $\mathcal{H}$. So replacing the special regulus, forming the 'I', with its opposite regulus changes an IΔ spread to its opposite spread, again of type IΔ.
• *Between Spreads of Type X and E:* A type X Mps S_9 can be generated from a *cyclic* S_6 in a manner similar to the generation of a type IΔ S_9 from an $S_6(\Delta)$ ([19]). A cyclic S_6 is a set of 6 mutually skew lines which are cyclically fixed by an element of $GL(5,2)$ of order 6. The complement of this S_6 in $PG(4,2)$ is the disjoint union of a plane α and two mutually skew lines l_7 and l_8. Any line $l_9 \subset \alpha$ completes an S_9 of type X along with l_7, l_8. The selection of these lines is dictated by the chosen element of $GL(5,2)$: l_9 is fixed and the pair (l_7, l_8) transposed by the element. If the regulus $\{l_7, l_8, l_9\}$ is replaced with its opposite regulus, we obtain a type E spread from the original type X spread.
Going from a type E spread to the opposite type X spread entails the replacement of the shared regulus of the type E spread by its opposite regulus.

C. The Doubling Construction

An optimal $(5,3)_2$ code with dimension distribution $(2,3)$ can be expressed as the union: $S1 \cup (S2)^{\perp}$, where $S1, S2$ are Mps's in $PG(4,2)$. That is to say, the set of 3-subspaces (planes of $PG(4,2)$) can be viewed as the element-wise dual of another Mps of 2-subspaces (lines of $PG(4,2)$). This follows from Lemma 13 of [13], stated below, restricted to constant dimension codes. Recall that in [13], the projective space $\mathcal{P}_q(n)$ is defined to be the collection of all possible subspaces of an n-dimensional vector space V over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Also, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_q(n)$ is an (n, M, d) code if $|\mathcal{C}| = M$ and the minimum subspace distance between any $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$ is d.

Lemma 2.1: If $\mathcal{C}$ is an (n, M, d) code in $\mathcal{P}_q(n)$, then its orthogonal complement $\mathcal{C}^{\perp} := \{C^{\perp} \,|\, C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is also an (n, M, d) code.

This construction of optimal codes as the union of a maximal spread and the complement of another, has been termed the *doubling construction* by Honold *et al.* [16]. Moreover, if a pair of spreads $S1$ and $S2$ yields an optimal $(5,3)_2$ code via the doubling construction, the 'dual' union is another optimal code, as stated in the following Proposition 2.1. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.

Proposition 2.1: If two maximum partial spreads $S1$ and $S2$ of k-subspaces in $PG(2k, q)$ form an optimal $(2k+1, 2k-1)_q$ code via the doubling construction as $S1 \cup (S2)^{\perp}$, the dual union $S2 \cup (S1)^{\perp}$ is an optimal code as well.

III. The Optimal $(5,3)_2$ Projective Space Codes

In this section we present several optimal $(5,3)_2$ codes. Our 'method' may be summarized as follows. We start with an existing (nearly) optimal code which is the union of an Mps and the complement of another. Replacing the special reguli of the Mps's with the opposite reguli replaces three skew lines with their transversals. So we get new spreads which are very 'close' to the originals, with the point set and two-thirds of the lines unchanged. If we obtain a pair of new Mps's with only a single conflict between them, we try to obtain an optimal code by the minimal change strategy.

A. The Minimal Change Strategy: MEV Code and its Dual

The EV code is a nearly optimal code of size 17 which consists of two Mps's, denoted $S1, S2$, having regulus types X and IΔ, respectively. The 2-subspaces or lines in the respective spreads are denoted by $l_i(Sj)$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 9$; $j = 1, 2$, and are completely described as triples of vectors. The 3-subspaces or planes are described later in terms of triples of linearly independent spanning vectors.

$S1 = \{35, 45, 34\}, \{13, 235, 125\}, \{14, 4u, 1u\},$
$\{245, 345, 23\}, \{135, 3u, 234\}, \{15, 4, 145\}, \{12, 3, 123\},$
$\{24, 1, 124\}, \{134, 2, 5u\}.$

$S2 = \{35, 45, 34\}, \{14, 4u, 1u\}, \{13, 235, 125\}, \{3, u, 3u\},$
$\{245, 2u, 123\}, \{15, 25, 12\}, \{134, 2, 5u\}, \{23, 4, 234\},$
$\{24, 1, 124\}.$

To get an optimal code, we restrict our efforts to *minimal* changes in the following sense. To resolve the distance discrepancy among two codewords $c(1), c(2)$, we change the row vectors of $c(1)$ or the row vectors of $c(2)$, choosing only one subspace at a time. Moreover, among the two chosen row vectors in the spanning matrices of each subspace, we change one particular row vector at a time. The replacements are chosen only among vectors which preserve the Schubert cell signature of each subspace. The details of constructing the MEV (modified EV) code have been given in [18] and are briefly recounted here for completeness.

The line $l_2(S1)$ is a subspace of the orthogonal complement of the line $l_5(S2)$; hence it was attempted to modify either of them by changing a single vector at a time. The change that worked was the substitution of the tuple 001 in the rightmost 3 bits of the 2-vector 235 of $l_2(S1)$. This produced the modified line $\{13, 25, 4u\}$. The above substitution was suggested by the fact that the tuple 001 was the only one not used in the rightmost 3 bits of the 2-vectors in any of the lines in $S1$. But this change results in non-trivial intersection between $l_2(S1)$ and $l_3(S1)$. However, the tuple 101 can be substituted in the 2-vector of $l_3(S1)$, forming the line $\{14, 235, u\}$. The modified spread $S1'$ with the above lines for $l_2(S1'), l_3(S1')$, and $l_i(S1') = l_i(S1)$ for all other i, forms an optimal code (modified EV or MEV code) with $S2$ via the doubling construction. The regulus pattern for $S1'$ is given by: $r_1 = \{l_1, l_5, l_9\}$, $r_2 = \{l_2, l_4, l_6\}$, $r_3 = \{l_3, l_5, l_7\}$ and $r_4 = \{l_7, l_8, l_9\}$, where $l_i = l_i(S1')$ for all i. It is evident that r_1, r_3, r_4 form the Δ, while r_2 is the regulus 'I'.

The MEV Code and its Dual:

- MEV code:
  $S1'$: $\{35, 45, 34\}, \{13, 25, 4u\}, \{14, 235, u\},$
  $\{245, 345, 23\}\{135, 3u, 234\}, \{15, 4, 145\}, \{12, 3, 123\},$
  $\{24, 1, 124\}, \{134, 2, 5u\}.$
  $(S2)^{\perp}$: $\langle 1, 2, 345\rangle, \langle 145, 25, 35\rangle, \langle 135, 25, 4\rangle, \langle 15, 25, 45\rangle,$
  $\langle 13, 235, 45\rangle, \langle 125, 3, 4\rangle, \langle 14, 34, 5\rangle, \langle 1, 23, 5\rangle, \langle 24, 3, 5\rangle.$
- The dual code:
  $S2$: Described earlier.
  $(S1')^{\perp}$: $\langle 1, 2, 345\rangle, \langle 13, 25, 4\rangle, \langle 14, 25, 35\rangle, \langle 1, 235, 45\rangle,$
  $\langle 15, 24, 345\rangle, \langle 15, 2, 3\rangle, \langle 12, 4, 5\rangle, \langle 24, 3, 5\rangle, \langle 14, 34, 5\rangle.$

B. Opposite Regulus Codes

In this subsection we present two pairs of optimal codes obtained by the application of the minimal change strategy on nearly optimal codes that result from replacing spreads of existing codes by their opposite spreads.

1) Opposite Regulus Code from the MEV Code: Replacing the distinguished reguli, i.e. the 'I' in the IΔ pattern of the spreads $S2$ and $S1'$ in the MEV code, with the opposite reguli, we obtain two new Mps's $S2^o$ and $S1'^o$ as follows.

• The reguli of $S2$ are given by: $r_1 = \{l_1, l_6, l_2\}$, $r_2 = \{l_2, l_4, l_3\}$, $r_3 = \{l_3, l_5, l_1\}$ and $r_4 = \{l_7, l_8, l_9\}$. The lines $l_1, \cdots, l_6$ form the $S_6(\Delta)$, while r_4 is the distinguished regulus which provides one set of generators of the quadric $\mathcal{H}$. Describing the quadric as an array of points, we have:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 5u & 134 \\ 4 & 234 & 23 \\ 24 & 1 & 124 \end{pmatrix}$$

The lines of r_4 form the rows of $\mathcal{H}$, and the columns constitute the lines of the opposite regulus ${r_4}^{op}$. Therefore a second spread of type IΔ, denoted $S2^o$, is formed by replacing the lines l_7, l_8, l_9 of $S2$ with: $l_7^o = \{2, 4, 24\}$, $l_8^o = \{5u, 234, 1\}$, and $l_9^o = \{134, 23, 124\}$.

• The quadric array formed by the distinguished regulus of $S1'$ is

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 25 & 4u \\ 345 & 245 & 23 \\ 145 & 4 & 15 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus $S1'^o$ is obtained from $S1'$ by replacing the lines of the regulus $\{l_2, l_4, l_6\}$ with $l_2^o = \{13, 345, 145\}$, $l_4^o = \{25, 245, 4\}$ and $l_6^o = \{4u, 23, 15\}$.

The code $S1'^o \cup (S2^o)^\perp$ is sub-optimal, with a single conflict between one 2-subspace and one 3-subspace. Specifically, $l_4^o := l_4(S1'^o)$ is contained in the dual subspace of $l_3(S2^o)$. Attempting the 3-tuple replacement in the 2-vector as in the previous case, we find that the possible replacements are 111 and 011. Using 111 in l_4^o yields a modified $l_4^o = \{1u, 235, 4\}$ which is no longer non-intersecting with $l_3(S1'^o) = \{14, 235, u\}$. Replacing the tuple in the 2-vector with 011 yields a modified $l_3(S1'^o) = \{14, 245, 125\}$. With these modifications, the pair of spreads $mS1'^o$ (modified $S1'^o$) and $S2^o$, of types X and IΔ, form another optimal code OR1: $mS1'^o \cup (S2^o)^\perp$. The dual union $S2^o \cup (mS1'^o)^\perp$ is another optimal code.

The Code OR1 and its Dual

- $mS1'^o$: $\{35, 45, 34\}, \{13, 345, 145\}, \{14, 245, 125\}, \{235, 4, 1u\}, \{135, 3u, 234\}, \{4u, 23, 15\}, \{12, 3, 123\}, \{24, 1, 124\}, \{134, 2, 5u\}$.
  $(S2^o)^\perp$: $\langle 1, 2, 345\rangle, \langle 145, 25, 35\rangle, \langle 135, 25, 4\rangle, \langle 15, 25, 45\rangle, \langle 13, 235, 45\rangle, \langle 125, 3, 4\rangle, \langle 1, 3, 5\rangle, \langle 24, 34, 5\rangle, \langle 14, 234, 5\rangle$.
- The dual code:
  $S2^o$: $\{35, 45, 34\}, \{14, 4u, 1u\}, \{13, 235, 125\}, \{3, u, 3u\}, \{245, 2u, 123\}, \{15, 25, 12\}, \{2, 4, 24\}, \{1, 234, 5u\}, \{134, 23, 124\}$.
  $(mS1'^o)^\perp$: $\langle 1, 2, 345\rangle, \langle 135, 2, 45\rangle, \langle 145, 25, 3\rangle, \langle 1, 25, 35\rangle, \langle 15, 24, 345\rangle, \langle 15, 23, 4\rangle, \langle 12, 4, 5\rangle, \langle 24, 3, 5\rangle, \langle 14, 34, 5\rangle$.

2) Opposite Regulus Code from OR1: We first consider the code obtained from OR1 by looking at the combinations of the spreads and opposite spreads.

• The regulus pattern of $mS1'^o$ is: $r_1 = \{l_1, l_5, l_9\}$, $r_2 = \{l_2, l_4, l_9\}$, $r_3 = \{l_3, l_6, l_9\}$ and $r_4 = \{l_7, l_8, l_9\}$. Evidently $mS1'^o$ is of type X with $l_9 = \{134, 2, 5u\}$ as the common line. So we have to find a permutation of the basis set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ which fixes $l_9 = \{134, 2, 5u\}$, cyclically permutes six of the remaining 8 lines, and transposes the last pair. The following permutation, described as: $(12345) \to (45, 134, 234, 145, u)$, acts on the lines as: $(l_1\, l_6\, l_7\, l_5\, l_3\, l_8)\,(l_2\, l_4)\,(l_9)$.

Hence the lines $l_1 = \{35, 45, 34\}$, $l_3 = \{14, 245, 125\}$, $l_5 = \{135, 3u, 234\}$, $l_6 = \{4u, 23, 15\}$, $l_7 = \{12, 3, 123\}$, $l_8 = \{1, 24, 124\}$ form the cyclic S_6, while $l_2 = \{13, 345, 145\}$ and $l_4 = \{235, 4, 1u\}$ are the two lines making up the fourth regulus with l_9.

To construct the opposite Mps one replaces the lines of the fourth regulus $\{l_2, l_4, l_9\}$ with $l_2^o = \{13, 4, 134\}$, $l_4^o = \{345, 1u, 2\}$ and $l_9^o = \{145, 235, 5u\}$. The regulus structure of the resulting Mps, denoted $E1$, is : $r_1 = \{l_1, l_5, l_9^o\}$, $r_2 = \{l_2^o, l_7, l_8\}$, $r_3 = \{l_3, l_4^o, l_6\}$ and $r_4 = \{l_2^o, l_4^o, l_9^o\}$. Evidently $E1$ is of type E, with each of the three lines of r_4 shared with exactly one of the other three reguli.

The code $E1 \cup (S2^o)^\perp$ is sub-optimal with a single conflict: the line $l_4(E1) = \{345, 1u, 2\}$ is a subspace of the complement of $l_1(S2^o)$, given by the span $\langle 1, 2, 345\rangle$. Applying the minimal change strategy, it is seen that no change in the 2-vector of either subspace, keeping the Schubert cell structure, results in an optimal code. The allowed changes in the 3-vector of the 2-subspace fail as well. However the substitution of the vector $34 = 00110$ as the 3-vector of the 3-subspace yields another optimal code, denoted OR2. The new spread $mS2^o$ (modified $S2^o$) has regulus pattern: $r_1 = \{l_1, l_5, l_9\}$, $r_2 = \{l_1, l_6, l_8\}$, $r_3 = \{l_7, l_8, l_9\}$ and $r_4 = \{l_2, l_3, l_4\}$, where the lines are as below. Evidently it is of type IΔ with the fourth regulus as the distinguished regulus.

The Code OR2 and its Dual

- $E1$: $\{35, 45, 34\}, \{13, 4, 134\}, \{14, 245, 125\}, \{345, 1u, 2\}, \{135, 3u, 234\}, \{4u, 23, 15\}, \{12, 3, 123\}, \{24, 1, 124\}, \{145, 235, 5u\}$.
  $(mS2^o)^\perp$: $\langle 1, 2, 34\rangle, \langle 145, 25, 35\rangle, \langle 135, 25, 4\rangle, \langle 15, 25, 45\rangle, \langle 13, 235, 45\rangle, \langle 125, 3, 4\rangle, \langle 1, 3, 5\rangle, \langle 24, 34, 5\rangle, \langle 14, 234, 5\rangle$.
- The dual code:
  $mS2^o$: $\{35, 5, 345\}, \{14, 4u, 1u\}, \{13, 235, 125\}, \{3, u, 3u\}, \{245, 2u, 123\}, \{15, 25, 12\}, \{2, 4, 24\}, \{1, 234, 5u\}, \{134, 23, 124\}$.
  $(E1)^\perp$: $\langle 1, 2, 345\rangle, \langle 13, 2, 5\rangle, \langle 145, 25, 3\rangle, \langle 1, 35, 45\rangle, \langle 15, 24, 345\rangle, \langle 15, 23, 4\rangle, \langle 12, 4, 5\rangle, \langle 24, 3, 5\rangle, \langle 14, 245, 345\rangle$.

Remark: The optimal code pairs reported above result from the application of the minimal change strategy on nearly optimal codes having the structure of the doubling construction. Another pair of optimal $(5, 3)_2$ codes is the code $S1 \cup (S1')^\perp$ and its dual. This is a curious find, as the second spread $S1'$ is obtained from $S1$ as the result of modifying the nearly optimal EV code: $S1 \cup (S2)^\perp$. *Hence we have a trio of Mps's: $S1$, $S1'$ and $S2$, such that two out of three possible pairs produce optimal codes by the doubling construction, while the pair $\{S1, S1'\}$ does not.*

IV. Comparison with the Shortened Codes of Honold-Kiermaier-Kurz

In this section we first analyze the construction in [16], described in Section II, in terms of the spread types involved. The type of spreads is the basis for comparing our examples with the codes obtained by the HKK construction.

When restricted to $PG(4, 2)$, the HKK construction yields optimal $(5, 3)_2$ codes consisting of 9 lines and an equal number of planes. This is evidently an instance of the doubling construction: the set of 9 lines in $PG(4, 2)$ (2-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_2^5$)

forms an Mps and the set of 9 planes (3-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_2^5$) is the dual of another Mps of lines. We now identify the types of the Mps's used in the line spread and the dual.

A. The Type of the Line Spread

The maximal partial spread S obtained as the uncomplemented spread in the HKK construction is characterized over $\mathbb{F}_q$ in [16] as follows: the q^k uncovered points (holes) of S form the set-wise complement of a k-subspace $X_0 \in S$ in a $(k+1)$-subspace Y_0. The subspace X_0 is termed the *moving subspace* of S, as it can be replaced by any k-subspace of Y_0 to form a maximal partial spread. To identify the type of Mps obtained as the line spread in the construction, when restricted to $\mathbb{F}_2$, we state the following theorem of [19] (Theorem 3.2).

Theorem 4.1: (i) The partial spread $S_8 = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_8\}$ which arises from any partition of $PG(4,2)$ of the form $\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2 \cup \cdots \cup \lambda_8 \cup \alpha_9$, α_9 a plane, is regulus-free. (ii) If, in the above partition, λ_9 is any line of the plane α_9, then $S_9 = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_8, \lambda_9\}$ is a partial spread in $PG(4,2)$ of type X. ■

Based on the description in [16] and the above theorem, we have the following

Proposition 4.1: The line spread in the HKK construction is of type X.

Proof: From the description in the HKK construction, the "moving line " X_0 is chosen from the plane Y_0, identified with $E_1 = (\mathcal{S} + P) \cap H$ in [16], which contains the holes of the spread (cf. Sections 3.2 and 3.3, [16]). Therefore we have: $Y_0 = X_0 \cup \{\text{holes of } S_9\}$. The partial spread S_8 of 8 lines is obtained by shortening those codewords of $\mathcal{G}$, the lifted Gabidulin code, which contain the point P. Hence the shortened lines forming S_8 are all disjoint from Y_0. So the partition $S_8 \cup Y_0 = PG(4,2)$ is of the type given in Theorem 4.1 (i), and the line $X_0 \subset Y_0$ completes the Mps S_9 when added to S_8. Hence, the S_8 of lines is regulus-free, and the resulting S_9 is of type X. ■

B. The Type of the Dual Spread

For identifying the type of the dual spread, we refer to Remark 4 of Section 3.3 in [16]. There it is asserted that the spread obtained in the dual form has the same type as the uncomplemented spread. We give a proof of this assertion by connecting the construction of the dual spread with the classification results in [19].

Recall that the dual spread of planes obtained in the HKK construction combines 8 codewords of the lifted $(6,3,2)$ Gabidulin code $\mathcal{G}$ with another additional plane, denoted $E' = E_2$ in [16]. The set of 8 codewords are disjoint from a special plane $\mathcal{S}$ in $PG(5,2)$ which meets the shortening hyperplane $H = PG(4,2)$ in a line. The ninth plane $B_9 = E_2$ in the dual spread also meets the plane $\mathcal{S}$ in a line, denoted by L_2 in [16]. We begin by identifying B_9 as the dual of the moving line in a type X spread and establish that the remaining planes B_i, $i = 1, \cdots, 8$, can be identified as the duals of lines λ_i, $i = 1, \cdots, 8$, of the spread, in some order.

Proposition 4.2: The spread obtained in dual form in the HKK construction of optimal $(5,3)_2$ codes is of type X.

Proof: Recall that a maximal partial line spread of type X arises out of a partition of $PG(4,2)$ of the form [19]:

$$S = \lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2 \cup \cdots \cup \lambda_8 \cup \alpha_9 \tag{1}$$

where α_9 is a plane. The ninth line of the spread is any line $\lambda_9 \subset \alpha_9$ and so, the 4 holes of the spread are given by $\{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\} = \alpha_9 \setminus \lambda_9$. The duals of the holes h_i, $i = 1, \cdots, 4$, are hyperplanes in $PG(4,2)$, i.e. solids denoted by S_i, $i = 1, \cdots, 4$. Therefore, we have:

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} h_i\right)^{\perp} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{4} (h_i)^{\perp} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{4} S_i$$

If the ninth plane B_9 is identified as ${\lambda_9}^{\perp}$, where λ_9 is as described above, it follows that $L_2 \subset B_9$ can be identified as the meet of the dual solids:

$$L_2 = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} h_i\right)^{\perp} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{4} S_i$$

Remains to identify the planes B_i as ${\lambda_i}^{\perp}$, $i = 1, \cdots, 8$. By duality, it is enough to prove that:

$$\dim(B_i \cap L_2) = 5 - \dim\left(\lambda_i \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} h_i\right)\right);\ i = 1, \cdots, 8. \tag{2}$$

We have $\dim\left(\lambda_i \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} h_i\right)\right) = 5$ for all i. This uses the fact that $h_i, i = 1, \cdots, 4$, are the holes of a maximal partial spread, forming the complement of λ_9 in the plane α_9 in Eqn (1). Hence any three of them are linearly independent and the union of the holes with any λ_i, $i = 1, \cdots, 8$, disjoint from α_9, spans the entire ambient space.

But by the HKK construction, B_i, $i = 1, \cdots, 8$, are all disjoint from $L_2 \subset \mathcal{S}$, the special plane disjoint from all the codewords of $\mathcal{G}$. So $\dim(B_i \cap L_2) = 0$ for all i, and the assertion is proved. Hence the set of planes obtained by the HKK construction of $(5,3)_2$ codes is the dual of a line spread of type X. ■

It follows that both the line spreads obtained by the HKK construction for optimal $(5,3)_2$ codes are of type X. The spread types of our reported examples are as follows:

1) The MEV code and its dual are constituted of two Mps's both of type IΔ;
2) The code (and its dual) formed of the EV code line spread and the MEV line spread use Mps's of types X and IΔ, respectively;
3) The code OR1 and its dual use Mps's of types X and IΔ, respectively;
4) The code OR2 and its dual use Mps's of types E and IΔ, respectively.

Therefore, based on the types of spreads used, all our examples fall outside the framework of the HKK construction.

V. Conclusion and Future Work

We have presented several optimal binary $(5, 3)$ projective space codes, which have been mostly obtained by the application of the strategy of minimal changes on nearly optimal codes. These nearly optimal codes were produced by replacing certain special reguli in the constituent spreads with the opposite reguli. The limitations of the minimal change strategy is obvious - it can take care of only a single conflict among the lines and planes in a nearly optimal code. But the fact that it has produced optimal codes with a vastly reduced search begs the following question: can the study of Schubert cell composition of spreads used in optimal codes lead to a general construction?

The paper of Honold *et al.* [16] represents a significant milestone in the search for optimal projective space codes. Their bound-achieving construction produces, in the binary case, codes involving just one of the three possible spread types. We have shown that our examples lie outside their framework in this respect. It will be worthwhile to modify or extend the HKK construction to encompass other spread types as well.

In [16], instances of the doubling construction yielding optimal $(7, 34, 5)_2$ codes have been reported. Another direction of future research would be to use the study of partial spread structures in the higher dimensions as well as for non-binary cases to obtain $(2k + 1, 2k - 1)$ optimal codes in $PG(2k, q)$.

Acknowledgment

The author gratefully acknowledges the valuable discussions with Smarajit Das and Sumanta Mukherjee.

References

[1] R. Kötter and F. R. Kschischang, "Coding for errors and erasures in random network coding", *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol.54, 3579–3591, 2008.

[2] D. Silva, F. R. Kschischang and R. Kötter, "A rank-metric approach to error-control in random network coding", *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol.54, 3951–3967, 2008.

[3] A. Kohnert and S. Kurz, "Construction of large constant dimension codes with a prescribed minimum distance", in *MMICS, vol. 5393, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, 31–42, 2008.

[4] T. Etzion and N. Silberstein, "Error-correcting codes in projective space via rank-metric codes and Ferrers diagrams", *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol.55, 2909–2919, 2009.

[5] T. Etzion and N. Silberstein, "Codes and designs related to lifted MRD codes", *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol.59, 1004–1017, 2013.

[6] A-L. Trautmann, F. Manganiello, M. Braun and J. Rosenthal, "Cyclic orbit codes", *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol.59, 7386–7404, 2013.

[7] N. Silberstein and A-L. Trautmann, "Subspace codes based on graph matchings, Ferrers diagrams and pending blocks", *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol.61, 3937–3953, 2015 .

[8] T. Honold, M. Kiermaier, S. Kurz,"Optimal binary subspace codes of length 6, constant dimension 3 and minimum subspace distance 4", [Online], arXiv:1311.0464v2.

[9] A. Wachter-Zeh and T. Etzion, "Optimal Ferrers diagram rank-metric codes", [Online], arXiv:1405.1885v1.

[10] E. Ben-Sasson, T. Etzion, A. Gabizon and N. Raviv, "Subspace polynomials and cyclic subspace codes", [Online], arXiv:1404.7739v1.

[11] H. Gluesing-Luerssen and C. Troha, " Construction of subspace codes through linkage", [Online], arXiv:1505.02186v1.

[12] T. Etzion, "Problems on q-analogs in coding theory", [Online], arXiv:1305.6126v1.

[13] T. Etzion and A. Vardy, "Error-correcting codes in projective space", *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol.57, 1165–1173, 2011.

[14] A. Khaleghi and F. R. Kschischang, "Projective space codes for the injection metric", *Proc. 11th Canadian Workshop on Information Theory*, Ottawa, 9–12, 2009.

[15] A. Ghatak, "Subspace codes for random networks based on Plücker coordinates and Schubert cells", [Online], arXiv:1301.6362v2.

[16] T. Honold, M. Kiermaier, S. Kurz, "Constructions and bounds for mixed-dimension subspace codes," [Online], arXiv:1512.06660v2.

[17] E. M. Gabidulin, "Theory of codes with maximal rank distance", *Problems of Information Transmission* (in Russian), vol.21, 1–12, 1985.

[18] A. Ghatak, "A bound-achieving modified Etzion-Vardy $(5, 3)$ projective space code", *Proc. NCC 16*, Guwahati, India, 2016.

[19] R. Shaw, "Subsets of $PG(n, 2)$ and maximal partial spreads in $PG(4, 2)$", *Des., Codes and Crypto.*, vol. 21, pp. 209–222, 2000.

[20] D. A. Drake and J. W. Freeman, " Partial t-spreads and group constructible (s, r, μ) -nets", *Journal of Geometry*, vol.13, 211–216, 1979.

[21] M. Biliotti, V. Jha, N. L. Johnson, *Foundations of Translation Planes*, Marcel Dekker, NY, 2001.